



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*Handwritten mark*

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,045	01/03/2002	Jon Elliot Adler	P 280681 2001-019	3276

7590 12/15/2004

CROWELL & MORING LLP  
P O BOX 14300  
WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER

BRANNOCK, MICHAEL T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1646

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

10/035,045

**Applicant(s)**

ADLER ET AL.

**Examiner**

Michael Brannock

**Art Unit**

1646

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-234 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 120-198 and 223-234 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11, 14-41, 44-51, 56-63, 66-119, 201-208 and 211-222 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 12, 13, 42, 43, 52-55, 64, 65, 199, 200, 209 and 210 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 04 April 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 072604.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1646

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Status of Application: Claims and Amendments*

Claims 120-198, 223-234 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/30/04.

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-199, 132-148, 150, 151, 177-222 as the claims relate to the elected species of hT1R2 is acknowledged. However, the examiner finds that only claims 1-119, 199-222 read on the elected species.

The traversal is on the grounds that polynucleotide claims and encoded polypeptide claims should be examined together and that a search of both would not be a serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive for the following reasons:

Under MPEP § 803, there are two criteria for a proper requirement for restriction between patentably distinct inventions:

(A) The inventions must be independent (see MPEP § 8702.01, 806.04, 808.01) or distinct as claimed (see MPEP § 806.05- §806.05(I)): and

(B) There must be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is required (see MPEP § 803.02, § 806.04(a)- 806.04(I), § 808.01(a), and § 808.02).

Consistent with current patent practice, a serious search burden may be established by (A) separate classification thereof: (B) a separate status in the art when they are classifiable together: (C) a different field of search. These criteria were met in the above restriction.

Further, a search is directed not only to art which would be anticipatory, but also to art that would render the invention obvious. In the instant case, although a search of the polypeptides of Group II would overlap a search of the polynucleotides of Group I, the two searches would not

Art Unit: 1646

be coextensive. In many instances, a protein will have been known in the art before the DNA has been discovered that encodes the protein. Often the protein will be known by a name different than the name given the protein after the cloning of the nucleic acid - and may even be associated with a completely different activity than that ascribed to it when the nucleic acid was cloned. Thus, Groups I and II require divergent searches, and to search both inventions would be burdensome. Therefore, the restriction is maintained and made final

### *Specification*

The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code, see pages 16 and 39 for example. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

Additionally, the disclosure is objected to because the US Provisional Application Number at Page 10 is left blank. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5, 10, 11, 14, 15, 24, 25, 34, 35, 44, 45, 56-58, 68, 69, 80, 81, 90-119 201, 202, 213, 214 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1, 90, 103 and dependent claims, require a "variant" of a DNA. The word "variant" is used in the art to denote a relative relationship between two things, yet the specification has not set forth a clear distinction between what is to be considered a "variant" and what is considered to be unrelated. Thus, the artisan could not unambiguously know whether or not he or she was in possession of polynucleotides that are encompassed by Applicants claims. Additionally, claim 103 requires a "variant molecule". The sentence structures of the claims do not explicitly set forth what reference molecule the claimed variant molecule is a variation of, therefore the metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined.

Claim 2 recites the phrase "consists essential of SEQ ID NO: 15 or 20". This appears to be a minor typographical error, however the phrase renders the claims indefinite because the artisan cannot determine what is and what is not being claimed. For the purpose of this examination, it is assumed that Applicant intended the phrase to read "consisting essentially of".

Claims 4, 5, 14, 15, 24, 25, 34, 35, 44, 45, 56, 57, 68, 69, 80, 81, 92, 93, 105, 106, 201, 202, 213, 214, require that the nucleic acid hybridize under stringent conditions. The term "stringent conditions" is a relative term and encompasses conditions of varying degrees of stringency - such conditions determining the bounds of the claim. However, the art does not provide an unambiguous definition of the term "stringent conditions" and neither is such a definition given for the term in the specification which puts forth the metes and bounds of the claim Applicant is seeking protection for. The term appears to be defined only by way of example at page 30. It is suggested that the claim recite the actual conditions that applicant considers to be stringent, e.g., salt concentration and temperature conditions of incubations and washes.

Claim 58 requires an isolated fragment “of the genomic DNA molecule of claim 54”, yet there is no genomic DNA molecule of claim 54, thus the artisan would not know which genomic DNA molecule the claim is referring to.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4-11, 14-41, 44-51, 56-119, 201-208, 211-222 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for isolated naturally occurring polynucleotides that hybridize to a polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 20 under the stringent conditions set forth in lines 17 and 18 of page 16 of the specification and encode a polypeptide that bind sucrose in conjunction with a T1R3 polypeptide, does not reasonably provide enablement for artificially constructed polynucleotides that encode variants of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21, and nor for fragments of the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 20. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The claims encompass polynucleotides encoding polypeptide variants of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21, i.e. substitutions, deletions or insertions in a protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 21. Applicant has not provided sufficient guidance as to how to make and use the encoded polypeptides which are not 100% identical to the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21, but which still retain a desired property of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21.

The specification has failed to teach one of skill in the art which amino acid substitutions, deletions or insertions to make. Furthermore, Applicant has not provided guidance as to what properties of the allelic variants or sequence variants of the protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 4 might be desired nor any guidance as to which amino acid substitutions, deletions or insertions to make to achieve any desired property. Applicant has not defined a difference in structure or difference in function between the protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 21 and variants of said protein. If a variant of the protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 21 is to have a structure and function similar to the protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 21 then the specification has failed to teach one of skill in the art which amino acid substitutions, deletions or insertions to make that will preserve the structure and function of the protein corresponding to SEQ ID NO: 21.

The problem of predicting protein structure from sequence data and in turn utilizing predicted structural determinations to ascertain functional aspects of the protein is extremely complex. While it is known that many amino acid substitutions are generally possible in any given protein, the positions within the protein's sequence where such amino acid substitutions can be made with a reasonable expectation of success are limited. Certain positions in the sequence are critical to the protein's structure/function relationship, e.g. such as various sites or regions directly involved in binding, activity and in providing the correct three-dimensional spatial orientation of binding and active sites. These regions can tolerate only relatively conservative substitutions or no substitutions (see Bowie et al., 1990, Science 247:1306-1310, especially p.1306, column 2, paragraph 2). However, Applicant has provided little or no guidance beyond the mere presentation of sequence data to enable one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 1646

to determine, without undue experimentation, the positions in the protein which are tolerant to change (e.g. such as by amino acid substitutions or deletions), and the nature and extent of changes that can be made in these positions. Also, these or other regions may be critical determinants of antigenicity. It is well appreciated in the art of antibody production that it is unpredictable which amino acids are critical antigenic determinants (see Alexander et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 89(3352-3356)1992. Protein antigenicity can be significantly reduced by substitution of even a single residue. Further, even if an amino acid substitution does not destroy the activity of the immunizing protein, the substitution may significantly reduce the antigenicity of the protein (see the Abstract of Alexander et al.). The specification does not provide sufficient guidance as to how to make antibodies that are specific to variants of SEQ ID NO: 21 that can be used for any specific purpose. The specification has not provided guidance as to natural variants that may exist, nor how to use antibodies specific to variants that might be created.

Although the specification outlines art-recognized procedures for producing variants, this is not adequate guidance as to the nature of active variants that may be constructed, but is merely an invitation to the artisan to use the current invention as a starting point for further experimentation. Even if an active or binding site were identified in the specification, they may not be sufficient, as the ordinary artisan would immediately recognize that an active or binding site must assume the proper three-dimensional configuration to be active, which conformation is dependent upon surrounding residues; therefore substitution of non-essential residues can often destroy activity.

The problem of producing active variants appears especially difficult in the art of T1R receptors, to which the instant polypeptide is asserted to belong. The instant specification



Art Unit: 1646

appears to simply suggest to the artisan that art-recognized procedures for screening GPCRs (e.g. pages 32-33, 40 and the example at page 91) are sufficient to identify functional variants of SEQ ID NO: 21. However, Hoon *et al.*, *Cell* 96(541-551)1999, report that "We have attempted to determine the ligand/tastant specificity of TR1 and TR2 using a variety of strategies but have been hampered by the difficulty of functionally expressing these molecules in heterologous systems" see col 1 of page 547. The art regarding T1R receptors, as exemplified by Hoon et al., recognizes the complexity, unpredictability, and non-routine nature of the work involved in trying to assay functional T1R receptors. The instant specification has provided only general guidance to the skilled artisan -such guidance does not supply the artisan with the detailed methods one would need to possess in order to screen for functional variants. Further, the specification has offered no working example of such variants

Additionally, the specification has provide no specific information as to which of multitude of the small fragments of DNA, e.g. claim 6, can be used for any specific purpose.

Therefore, due to the large quantity of experimentation necessary to generate the infinite number of variant recited in the claims and possibly screen same for activity, the lack of direction/guidance presented in the specification regarding which structural features are required in order to provide activity, the absence of working examples directed to same, the complex nature of the invention, the state of the prior art which establishes the unpredictability of the effects of mutation on protein structure and function and the difficulties encountered in screening T1Rs, exemplified by Hoon et al., and the breadth of the claims which fail to recite any structural or functional limitations, undue experimentation would be required of the skilled artisan to make and/or use the claimed invention in its full scope.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7-11, 14, 15, 17-41, 44, 45, 47-53, 56, 57, 59-119, 201, 202, 204-208, 211-222 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification discloses a naturally occurring polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 20 encoding a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21, yet the claims encompass polynucleotides not described in the specification, e.g., artificially mutated sequences, sequences that have a recited degree of identity or that merely hybridize to SEQ ID NO: 20. These claimed genera do not meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Although one of skill in the art would reasonably predict that these sequences exist or could be made to exist, one would not be able make useful predictions as to the nucleotide positions or identities of those sequences based on the information disclosed in the specification.

A genus claim may be supported by a representative number of species as set forth in *Regents of the University of California v Eli Lilly & Co*, 119F3d 1559, 1569, 43 USPQ2d 1398, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997). A description of a genus of cDNAs may be achieved by means of a recitation of a representative number of cDNAs, defined by nucleotide sequence, falling within the scope of the genus, or of a recitation of structural features common to the genus, which features constitute a substantial portion of the genus. The instant specification discloses no artificially mutated sequences that have any function. Further, even if the disclose sequence were definitive of a genus with a specified function, the instantly claimed genus is not so limited

Art Unit: 1646

and the prior art does not provide compensatory structural or correlative teachings to enable one of skill to identify/obtain the polynucleotides encompassed, thus the artisan would not consider Applicant to be in possession of the breadth that is claimed.

With the exception of the of the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 20, the skilled artisan cannot envision the detailed chemical structure of the encompassed variants. Therefore, only the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 20, other polynucleotides that encode a polypeptide of SEQ ID NO: 21, and polynucleotides consisting of fragments thereof, but not the full breadth of the claims meet the written description provision of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6, 14-16, 22-26, 32-36, 44, 45, 56, 57, 66-70, 76-82, 88-94, 100-107, 113-118, 201-203, 211-215, 221, 222 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoon *et al.*, Cell 96(541-551), February 19, 1999.

Art Unit: 1646

Hoon et al. disclose a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide with over 70% sequence identity with the instant SEQ ID NO: 20, see attached sequence alignment, and would thus be expected to hybridize under what could be considered stringent hybridization conditions as stated in the claims, absent evidence to the contrary. Isolated RNA is also described, page 542. PCR primers are disclosed, page 544, which would meet the conditions of being between about 20-30 nucleotide bases in length, absent evidence to the contrary.

Claims 7-11, 17-21, 27-31, 37-41, 47-51, 59-63, 71-75, 83-87, 95-99, 103-115, 204-208, 216-220 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krautwurst et al. Cell 95(917-926)1998.

Claims 7-11, 17-21, 27-31, 37-41, 47-51, 59-63, 71-75, 83-87, 95-99, 108-112, 204-208, 216-220 require that the chimeric or fused nucleic acid molecule only comprise at least a part of the coding sequence contained in the DNA of SEQ ID NO: 20. This limitation reads on any chimeric or fused nucleic because the parts of the coding sequence of SEQ ID NO: 20 are simply A, T, G, or C. Krautwurst et al. disclose a fusion protein comprising a nucleic acid encoding an olfactory receptor (GPCR) fused to a nucleic acid sequence encoding a mammalian rhodopsin (heterologous GPCR), wherein such rhodopsin gene product helps facilitate expression of the fusion protein to the cell surface (pg 918 col 1), wherein the rhodopsin gene product further provides a detectable marker (pg 918 col 2). Such fused nucleic acid is produced with a constitutively active promoter (page 924, col 2). Claims 103-115 and 117 require a variant of SEQ ID NO: 21 containing at least one conservative substitution. Absent evidence to the contrary this reads on any polypeptide.

Art Unit: 1646

Claims 1, 4-7, 9, 11, 14-17, 19, 21-27, 29, 31-37, 39, 41, 44-46, 56-58, 66-171, 73, 75-83, 85, 87-89, 103-115, 117, 201-204, 206, 208-217, 218, 220-222 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No: 203/0036089, which is fully supported by U.S. Provisional Application No: 60/172,600, filed 12/20/1999

U.S. Patent Application Publication No: 203/0036089 discloses polynucleotides, cDNA, genomic DNA, and expressed RNA [0049], wherein the polynucleotides have 95% identity with the polynucleotide of SEQ ID NO: 20 over the entire length of SEQ ID NO: 20 see attached sequence alignment, and would thus be expected to hybridize to the instant SEQ ID NO: 20 under conditions listed in the specification as stringent, although the polynucleotide is not asserted to be active in taste signaling. Fusion proteins to facilitate purification or expression are also contemplated [0071], as well as probes and primers of about 12-50 nucleotides [0151].

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-11, 27-31, 37-41, 71-75, 83-87, 95-99, 109-112, 216-220 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoon *et al.*, *Cell* 96(541-551), February 19, 1999 in view of Krautwurst *et al.* *Cell* 95(917-926)1998.

Art Unit: 1646

As set forth above Hoon et al. disclose a polynucleotide encoding a polypeptide with over 70% sequence identity with the instant SEQ ID NO: 20, see attached sequence alignment, and would thus be expected to hybridize under what could be considered stringent hybridization conditions as stated in the claims, absent evidence to the contrary. Hoon et al. teach that this polynucleotide is difficult to express and explicitly recommend that the expression system of Krautwurst et al. be employed to express the protein, see col 1 of page 547 of Hoon et al.

Krautwurst et al. disclose an expression system producing a fusion protein from a nucleic acid encoding an olfactory receptor (GPCR) fused to a nucleic acid sequence encoding a mammalian rhodopsin (heterologous GPCR), wherein such rhodopsin gene product helps facilitate expression of the fusion protein to the cell surface (pg 918 col 1), wherein the rhodopsin gene product further provides a detectable marker (pg 918 col 2). Such fused nucleic acid is produced with a constitutively active promoter (page 924, col 2).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, and with reasonable expectation of success, would be motivated to make a chimeric construct with the polynucleotide of Hoon et al. and the rhodopsin construct as taught by Krautwurst et al. The motivation to do so is provided by Hoon et al. who specifically teach to do this.

***Allowable Subject Matter***

Claims 12, 13, 42, 43, 52-55, 65, 65, 199, 200, 209, 210 are allowable with respect to SEQ ID NO: 20 and 21, yet the claims are objected to because they contain non-elected subject matter.

Art Unit: 1646

***Conclusion***

Please note the new central fax number for official correspondence below:

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brannock, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0869. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Fridays from 10:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0961. Official papers filed by fax should be directed to 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

MB



December 11, 2004



ELIZABETH KEMMERER  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Q9ZOR7  
ID Q9ZOR7 PRELIMINARY; PRT; 843 AA.  
AC Q9ZOR7;  
DT 01-MAY-1999 (TrEMBLrel. 10, Created)  
DT 01-MAY-1999 (TrEMBLrel. 10, Last sequence update)  
DT 01-JUN-2003 (TrEMBLrel. 24, Last annotation update)  
DE Putative taste receptor TR2 (Fragment).  
OS Rattus norvegicus (Rat).  
OC Eukaryota; Metazoa; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Euteleostomi;  
OC Mammalia; Eutheria; Rodentia; Sciurognathi; Muridae; Murinae; Rattus.  
OX NCBI\_TaxID=10116;  
RN [1]  
RP SEQUENCE FROM N.A.  
RC STRAIN=Wistar;  
RX MEDLINE=99159821; PubMed=10052456;  
RA Hoon M.A., Adler E., Lindemeier J., Battey J.F., Ryba N.J.,  
RA Zuker C.S.;  
RT "Putative mammalian taste receptors: a class of taste-specific GPCRs  
RT with distinct topographic selectivity."  
RL Cell 96:541-551 (1999).  
DR EMBL; AF127390; AAD18070.1; -.  
DR GO; GO:0016020; C:membrane; IEA.  
DR GO; GO:0008067; F:metabotropic glutamate, GABA-B-like recepto. . .; IEA.  
DR GO; GO:0004872; F:receptor activity; IEA.  
DR InterPro; IPR001828; ANF\_receptor.  
DR InterPro; IPR000337; GPCR\_Mgr.  
DR InterPro; IPR011500; NCD3G\_GPCR.  
DR Pfam; PF00003; 7tm\_3; 2.  
DR Pfam; PF01094; ANF\_receptor; 1.  
DR Pfam; PF07562; NCD3G; 1.  
DR PRINTS; PR00248; GPCR\_MGR.  
DR PROSITE; PS50259; G\_PROTEIN\_RECEP\_F3\_4; 1.  
KW Receptor.  
FT NON TER 843 843  
SQ SEQUENCE 843 AA; 95799 MW; D23AC22D21E049B8 CRC64;  
  
Query Match 72.7%; Score 3231; DB 2; Length 843;  
Best Local Similarity 70.8%; Pred. No. 3.3e-231;  
Matches 596; Conservative 109; Mismatches 133; Indels 4; Gaps 2;  
  
QY 1 MGPRAKTICSLFFLLWVLAEP---AENSDFYLPQDYLLGGLFSLHANMKGIVHLNLFQVP 57  
Db 1 MGPOARTLCLLSLLHLVLPKPGKLVENSDFHLAGDYLLGGLFTLHANVKSISHLSYLVQVP 60  
  
QY 58 MCKEYEVKVIQYNLMQAMRFVVEEINNDSLLPGVLLGYEIVDVCYISNNVQPVLYPLAH 117  
Db 61 KCNEFTMKVLGYNLMQAMRFVVEEINNCSLLPGVLLGYEMVDVCYLSNNIHPLGYFLAQ 120  
  
QY 118 EDNLLPIQEDYSNYISRVVAVIGPDNSBSVMTVANFLSLFLLPQITYSAISDELKDKVRF 177  
Db 121 DDDLLPILQDYSQYMPHVAVIGPDNSBSAITVSNILSHFLIPQITYSAISDKLRKRF 180  
  
QY 178 PALLRTTPSADHVEAMVQMLHFRWNWIIVLVSSDTYGRDNGOLLGERVAR-RDICIAP 236  
Db 181 FSLMRTVPSATHHIEAMVQMLVHPQWNWIIVLVSDDDYGRENSHLLSQRLTKTSDICIAP 240  
  
QY 237 QETLPTLQPNQNTSEERQRLVTIVDKLQQSTARVVVVSPDLTLYHPFNEVLQNFTGA 296  
Db 241 QEVLPIPESSQVMSRSEQRQLDNILDKLRRTSARVVVVSPDLSYSPFHEVLRWNFTGF 300  
  
QY 297 VWIASESWAIDPVLHNLTELGLGTFLGITIQSVPIPGFSEFREWGPAGPPPLSRTSQS 356  
Db 301 VWIASESWAIDPVLHNLTELRTGTFLGVTTIQRVSIPGFSQFRVRRDKPGYPVNTNLR 360  
  
QY 357 YTCNQECNCLNATLSFNTILRLSGERVVYSVYSAVAVAHALHSLGCDKSTCTKRVVY 416  
Db 361 TTCNQDCACLNTTSCFNNILILSGERVVYSVYSAVAVAHALHRLGCRNVRCTKQKY 420  
  
QY 417 PWQLLEBIWKVNFTLLDHOIFFDPOGDVALHLEIVQWQDRSONPFQSVASYYPLOQLK 476  
Db 421 PWQLLEBIWVNFVFTLLGNLFFDQCDMPMLLDIIQWQDLSQNPFSIASYSPTSRT 480  
  
QY 477 NIQDISWHTVWNTIPMSMCKSCQSGQKKKPVGIHVCCFECIDCLPGTFLNHTDEYEQ 536  
Db 481 YINNVSWYTPNNTVPVSMCKSCQSGQMKKSVGLHPCCFECIDCMPTGLNRSADBFNCL 540  
  
QY 537 ACPNNEWSQSETSCFKRQLVFLWEHAPTIAVALLAALGFLSTLAILVIFWRHFQPIV 596  
Db 541 SCPGSMWSYKNDITCFQRRPTFLWEHVEPTIVVAILAALGFFSTLAILFIFWRHFQPMV 600  
  
QY 597 RSAGGEMCFMLMLTLLVAYMVVGVVGPVKVSTCLCROALFPLCFTICISCIARVSPQIV 656  
Db 601 RSAGGEMCFMLMLVPLLAAGMVVGVVGPVTFSCFCRQAFPTVCFSICLSCITVRSQIV 660  
  
QY 657 CAFKMASRFPFRAYSQYVRYQGPVSMAPITVLKVVIVIGMLATGLSPTRTDPPDKIT 716  
Db 661 CVFKMARLPSAYSFWRYHGPVVFVAFITAIVKVALVGVNMLATTINPIGRTDPPDENIM 720  
  
QY 717 IVSCNPNYRNSLLFNTSLDLLSVVGFSFAYMGKELPTNYNEAKFITLSMTFYFTSSVSL 776  
Db 721 ILSCHPNYRNSLLFNTSMDLLSVVGFSFAYMGKELPTNYNEAKFITLSMTFYFTSSISL 780  
  
QY 777 CTFMSAYSGVLVTIVDLLVTVLNLLAISLGYFGPKCYMILFYPERNTPAYFNSMIQGYM 836  
Db 781 CTFMSVHDGVLVTIMDLLVTVLNLLAISLGYFGPKCYMILFYPERNTSAYFNSMIQGYM 840



QY	601	ACGACCTCTTAAATAAGTGTGAGACCTGTGGTGGCAATGAAGCCACGCTCATGTTCTG
Db	2508	ACGACCTCTTAAATAAGTGTGAGACCTGTGGTGGCAATGAAGCCACGCTCATGTTCTG
QY	661	TGGCAAGAGCAAGGACGCGGACATGCGCGCTCATCTGCACTACACGCAATACAGCCCC
Db	2568	TGGCAAGAGCAAGGACGCGGACATGCGCGCTCATCTGCACTACACGCAATACAGCCCC
QY	721	GTGTGCTGTGTCTCATCTGAGGCCCCCATCTGTCAAGAGTGTGGCAATGTGCAACGGCAATTCT
Db	2628	GTGTGCTGTGTCTCATCTGAGGCCCCCATCTGTCAAGAGTGTGGCAATGTGCAACGGCAATTCT
QY	781	TCAAGCTTCTTCTCTATGCCCCCAAGTGGGGGGCCCCCAACATCAACCAACCCCAACACCC
Db	2688	TCAAGCTTCTTCTCTATGCCCCCAAGTGGGGGGCCCCCAACATCAACCAACCCCAACAGGCC
QY	841	CTTGCCCCGTGGAGAGCCCCCTGTGTGTGAGAGAGATGTGATGACACCCACCCAGCCCTTGC
Db	2748	CTTGCCCCGTGGAGAGCCCCCTGTGTGTGAGAGAGATGTGATGACACCCACCCAGCCCTTGC
QY	901	CTTGAGAGACCCCTGTGTGTGAGAGATGCTTTTGAGCCTTGGCAAGTGTGCTAAGGTATGAGAT
Db	2782	CTTGAGAGACCCCTGTGTGTGAGAGATGCTTTTGAGCCTTGGCAAGTGTGCTAAGGTATGAGAT
QY	961	GGAAGCTGTGAAGGCGCCGAGAGACTTCCCTCTTCTTCCGCAACGATGCGCCAGAGCAACG
Db	2816	GGAAGCTGTGAAGGCGCCGAGAGACTTCCCTCTTCTTCCGCAACGATGCGCCAGAGCAACG
QY	1021	TGTGTCAAGCTTACCGGCGCGCGCGGAGGTGCTGTGCAAGAGATTCCGCTGGAATTTGGGTGGCGCG
Db	2876	TGTGTCAAGCTTACCGGCGCGCGCGGAGGTGCTGTGCAAGAGATTCCGCTGGAATTTGGGTGGCGCG
QY	1081	CTTGAGGACAGGACGACAGAGATTACGGCGCGGAGAGGAGCTTGTGAGCATCTTCTCGGACCTTGGCGCG
Db	2936	CTTGAGGACAGGACGACAGAGATTACGGCGCGGAGAGGAGCTTGTGAGCATCTTCTCGGACCTTGGCGCG
QY	1141	GGAACGCGGAGATTTGATATGCGCGCAACGAGGAGCTGTGGTCCGCGCGCGCGCGAGATGACATTC
Db	2996	GGAACGCGGAGATTTGATATGCGCGCAACGAGGAGCTGTGGTCCGCGCGCGCGCGAGATGACATTC
QY	1201	GCGGCTGGAGGAAGTGTGACAGACGTCCTCTGTACACAGGTGAAACAAGACAGCGTGTCAAGTGGAT
Db	3056	GCGGCTGGAGGAAGTGTGACAGACGTCCTCTGTGTGAAACAAGGTGAAACAAGACAGCGTGTGATGGAT
QY	1261	GCTGCTGTTTTCGCTTCGCTGTGACGCGCGCCACGCGCTTTCATCACTACAGATACAGGACAGAG
Db	3116	GCTGCTGTTTTCGCTTCGCTGTGACGCGCGCCACGCGCTTTCATCACTACAGATACAGGACAGAG
QY	1321	GCTCTGCGCCCAAGGTGTGGTGGTGGCAACGACAGGAGCTTGCTGACCTTGTGACCTGTGATGGG
Db	3176	GCTCTGCGCCCAAGGTGTGGTGGTGGCAACGACAGGAGCTTGCTGACCTTGTGACCTGTGATGGG
QY	1381	GCTGCGCGCGGCAATGCGCGCAATGGGCAACGCTGTGGTGGCTTTCCTCCAGAGGGGGTGGCCACCT
Db	3236	GCTGCGCGCGGCAATGCGCGCAATGGGCAACGCTGTGGTGGCTTTCCTCCAGAGGGGGTGGCCACCT
QY	1441	GCAACGAGTTTCCCCCAAGTACGTGAAGAACGCACTGGGCGCTTGGCACACGACCCGGGCTTGTG
Db	3296	GCAACGAGTTTCCCCCAAGTACGTGAAGAACGCACTGGGCGCTTGGCACACGACCCGGGCTTGTG
QY	1501	CTTGTGCGCCGTGGGCGAGAGGAGACAGAGGTCTTGGAGAGAGACGATGTGGGGCGACGCGTGTGCGC
Db	3356	CTTGTGCGCCGTGGGCGAGAGGAGACAGAGGTCTTGGAGAGAGACGATGTGGGGCGACGCGTGTGCGC
QY	1561	GCAAGTGTACTGTGATCAACGCTGCAAGACGTTGACGCGACAGGGCTTAAATCAACAACAAGCTT
Db	3416	GCAAGTGTACTGTGATCAACGCTGCAAGACGTTGACGCGACAGGGCTTAAATCAACAACAAGCTT
QY	1621	CTGTGTCTTACGAGAGCTGTGTATAGAGGTGGCCAGAGCCCTGTGCAACAACACTTTCAAGTGTGAA
Db	3476	CTGTGTCTTACGAGAGCTGTGTATAGAGGTGGCCAGAGCCCTGTGCAACAACACTTTCAAGTGTGAA

1681	CGCCTTGGGCTGGCCCGCCAGCAACCCCGTAAACCTCGGCAAGTGAAGCCCGAGAGATAG	1740
3536	CGGCTCAAGGCTGCCCCCGCAGAGAACCCCGTTAAACCTCGGCAAGTGAAGCCCGAGAGATAG	3595
1741	GGGTGTGTGTCTCTGTGATGTGTCCAGGCACTAGGCAAGGCAACAAGCTTAGCTGAGCTGG	1800
3596	GGGTGTGTGTCTCTGTGATGTGTCCAGGCACTAGGCAAGGCAACAAGCTTAGCTGAGCTGG	3655
1801	AGGTGTGCTAGAGGCTCAAGCCCGGTCCCGCCCGCCAGCTCTGTGAGAACATTTAACCT	1860
3656	AGGTGTGCTAGAGGCTCAAGCCCGGTCCCGCCCGCCAGCTCTGTGAGAACATTTAACCT	3715
1861	GACTTTCCACGTGTGGGCGGGCTGGCCGCTGGGTTGACAGAGGTGAAGACTGTGAATGGA	1920
3716	GACTTTCCACGTGTGGGCGGGCTGGCCGCTGGGTTGACAGAGGTGAAGACTGTGAATGGA	3775
1921	GTACAGACTGAAGCTGTGGGTTGTGGCAAGGACTCAAGTCCCAAGGCTCAAGAGTGGGAG	1980
3776	GTACAGACTGAAGCTGTGGGTTGTGGCAAGGACTCAAGTCCCAAGGCTCAAGAGTGGGAG	3835
1981	GTTCACAACGCAAGCTTCACAGACAGAGAGGCTGAATAATCCGTGTGGCAACGCTTTGACATCA	2040
3836	GTTCACAACGCAAGCTTCACAGACAGAGAGGCTGAATAATCCGTGTGGCAACGCTTTGACATCA	3895
2041	GGTGAAGTAAAGGTGGGTGTGTGCACAGACCTGTCCGTGTGAAGCCCGCCGAGCAAGCGCAGC	2100
3896	GGTGAAGTAAAGGTGGGTGTGTGCACAGACCTGTCCGTGTGAAGCCCGCCGAGCAAGCGCAGC	3955
2101	CTGGGGGGTGGGGCCGTTTCATCTTCCTGTGGGCAATGCCAGCCAGAGCAAGCCCAAGACCC	2160
3956	CTGGGGGGTGGGGCCGTTTCATCTTCCTGTGGGCAATGCCAGCCAGAGCAAGCCCAAGACCC	4015
2161	CAGGCTGTGTGCGCAGAGAGCCCGTGTCCCGGGTCTCCGAGGCAATGACAGAGAGGCAAGTGG	2220
4016	CAGGCTGTGTGCGCAGAGAGCCCGTGTCCCGGGTCTCCGAGGCAATGACAGAGAGGCAAGTGG	4075
2221	CGCGGGGCTCAAGGGGTTTCCATCTCTGTGCTTAAGCATGTGTGTGAATGCGAGCCGAGCAGC	2280
4076	CGCGGGGCTCAAGGGGTTTCCATCTCTGTGCTTAAGCATGTGTGTGAATGCGAGCCGAGCAGC	4135
2281	TACCGGCAAAACCAAGTGAAGCCGCTTTCGCGGCAAGGCGGGGGTGGAAACGCAAGCAGG	2340
4136	TACCGGCAAAACCAAGTGAAGCCGCTTTCGCGGCAAGGCGGGGGTGGAAACGCAAGCAGG	4195
2341	AGAGTCTTGTCCCAAGTCTGTGACTGTGAAGCAAGGCTCAAGGAGGCAAGAGCAAGAACCCA	2400
4196	AGAGTCTTGTCCCAAGTCTGTGACTGTGAAGCAAGGCTCAAGGAGGCAAGAGCAAGAACCCA	4255
2401	GGGCTCTTCTCTCTCTCAACAGACGACATCCGCTGCACTTTTGTGGCCAGATGAATGAG	2460
4256	GGGCTCTTCTCTCTCTCTCAACAGACGACATCCGCTGCACTTTTGTGGCCAGATGAATGAG	4315
2461	TCCCCGAGAGCAAGACAGACAGCTGCTTCCGCGCAGAGCTGTGGGTTCCAGGCAATGGGCGAG	2520
4316	TCCCCGAGAGCAAGACAGACAGCTGCTTCCGCGCAGAGCTGTGGGTTCCAGGCAATGGGCGAG	4375
2521	CCGGCTGTGTGTGTGCTCTGTGTGTGTGAAGCTTGGCGGTGTGGGCTTGTCTGTGGTGTCT	2580
4376	CCGGCTGTGTGTGTGCTCTGTGTGTGTGAAGCTTGGCGGTGTGGGCTTGTCTGTGGTGTCT	4435
2581	TTGGGGCTGT	2640
4436	TTGGGGCTGT	4495
2641	GGCTGT	2700
4496	GGCTGT	4555
2701	CAGCCAGAGCCCTGGCCAGATGGCTTGGCCAGAGAGCCCTTGTCCCAAGCTCCCGCTCAAGGAGC	2760
4556	CAGCCAGAGCCCTGGCCAGATGGCTTGGCCAGAGAGCCCTTGTGTCCCAAGCTCCCGCTCAAGGAGC	4615
2761	TGCGTGAAGCACTCTTCTGTGAGAGGAGCCAGATCTTCCGTGAAGTCAAGACTGCGCTCTG	2820

Db	4616	TGCTGAGACACACTTCTCTGACAGAGGAGGACAGATCTTCTGATGAGTACAGAACTGCTCTG	4675
Qy	2821	AGCTGAGGAGACACGGCTGAGAGGCTGGCTGAGCGGAGGACCTGAGGCTGAGTGGTGG	2880
Db	4676	AGCTGAGGAGACACGGCTGAGAGGCTGGCTGAGCGGAGGACCTGAGGCTGAGTGGTGG	4735
Qy	2881	CTGGCCATGCTGGTGGAGAGTGGCACTGTGCACTGGTACCTGTGGCTTCCGCGGAG	2940
Db	4736	CTGGCCATGCTGGTGGAGAGTGGCACTGTGCACTGGTACCTGTGGCTTCCGCGGAG	4795
Qy	2941	GTGGTGAAGGACATGGGACATATGTCGCCACGAGAGGCGTGGTGCATGTCGACACGCTCG	3000
Db	4796	GTGGTGAAGGACATGGGACATATGTCGCCACGAGAGGCGTGGTGCATGTCGACACGCTCG	4855
Qy	3001	TGGGTGACGCTTGGGCTGAGCGACAGCGCAAGCCAAATGCCAGCTGGCTTCTCTGCTCTG	3060
Db	4856	TGGGTGACGCTTGGGCTGAGCGACAGCGCAAGCCAAATGCCAGCTGGCTTCTCTGCTCTG	4915
Qy	3061	GGCACCTTCTCTGGTGGAGGACGAGCGCGGCTGGTCAACACGAGCCGATGGCTCACTT	3120
Db	4916	GGCACCTTCTCTGGTGGAGGACGAGCGCGGCTGGTCAACACGAGCCGATGGCTCACTT	4975
Qy	3121	GCCAGGCGAGGCTGACTTCAATCACTGGGATCTCTTGTGGCGGCTCTGGCCAAATGGACG	3180
Db	4976	GCCAGGCGAGGCTGACTTCAATCACTGGGATCTCTTGTGGCGGCTCTGGCCAAATGGACG	5035
Qy	3181	GTGGTCTCAAGGCGCGCGGTGACAGATGGAGCGCTCTGTGCTGTGTCTCTGGGATCTCTG	3240
Db	5036	GTGGTCTCAAGGCGCGCGGTGACAGATGGAGCGCGCTCTGTGCTGTGTCTCTGGGATCTCTG	5095
Qy	3241	GTTGGCTTCCACTGTCGCCAGGTGTTCATCTCTCATATGGGACGACAGGGTCAACCCCG	3300
Db	5096	GTTGGCTTCCACTGTCGCCAGGTGTTCATCTCTCATATGGGACGACAGGGTCAACCCCG	5155
Qy	3301	GAGTCTTCTCTGGAGAGGAGGCGCTGGGAGATGCCAAGCCCAAGATGAGGGAACACAGAG	3360
Db	5156	GAGTCTTCTCTGGAGAGGAGGCGCTGGGAGATGCCAAGGCCCAAGATGAGGGAACACAGAG	5215
Qy	3361	AATCAGGGGAAACATGAGTGAACCAACCCGTGATCTCAGCCCGCGTGAACCAACTTA	3420
Db	5216	AATCAGGGGAAACATGAGTGAACCAACCCGTGATCTCAGCCCGCGTGAACCAACTTA	5275
Qy	3421	GCTGGAGTCCCCCCCAAGCCAGCAATGACCCGATCTGGCTACAGAGCCCTCCCGGCT	3480
Db	5276	GCTGGAGTCCCCCCCAAGCCAGCAATGACCCGATCTGGCTACAGAGCCCTCCCGGCT	5335
Qy	3481	AGGTTCTGACCCCAAGTGTGTCTCTGAGCCCTGACCCCAAGTGAAGCCCTTAAGCCTGAAGC	3540
Db	5336	AGGTTCTGACCCCAAGTGTGTCTCTGAGCCCTGACCCCAAGTGAAGCCCTTAAGCCTGAAGC	5395
Qy	3541	AGGTGAGCAACCCCTGTGACCAATC 3563	
Db	5396	AGGTGAGCAACCCCTGTGACCAATC 5418	